

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 remain pending in this application. Claims 1, 3 and 9 are independent. Based upon the amendments and remarks included herein, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections.

In the outstanding Official Action, the Examiner rejected claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated over *Shuichi* (JP 09-037125); rejected claims 1-3 and 7-8 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Shuichi* in view of *Pine* (USP 6,714,260); rejected claims 4 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Shuichi* and *Pine*, and further in view of *Yokota et al.* (USP 5,847,662); rejected claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Shuichi*, *Pine*, and *Yokota et al.*, and further in view of *Yoshizawa et al.* (USP 4,802,201); rejected claims 10 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Shuichi* in view of *Yokota et al.*; and rejected claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Shuichi* in view of *Yokota et al.* and further in view of *Anderson* (USP 6,233,016). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

By this amendment, Applicant has amended the claims to more appropriately recite the present invention. It is respectfully submitted that these amendments are being made without conceding the propriety of the Examiner's rejections, but merely to timely advance prosecution of the present application.

Preliminary Comments

This Reply is being filed concurrently with a Request for Interview. Prior to formal consideration of the enclosed amendments and remarks on the record, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner contact the undersigned to schedule and conduct an Interview.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

In support of the Examiner's rejection of claim 9, the Examiner asserts that *Shuichi* discloses a communication device for stopping wireless oscillation at least during an imaging

process when the electronic camera receives an instruction to capture an image. Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's characterization of this reference.

There is no teaching or suggestion in *Shuichi* that is directed to pausing wireless oscillation during the imaging process when the electronic camera receives an instruction to capture an image. *Shuichi* clearly discloses that upon powering of the electronic camera, no power is supplied to the transmission equipment. As such, oscillation does not begin in *Shuichi*. If oscillation does not begin, then there can be no teaching or suggestion in *Shuichi* that is directed to pausing the wireless oscillation. As such, these teachings are insufficient to anticipate a communication device for pausing wireless oscillation when the electronic camera receives an instruction to capture an image. For at least these reasons, Applicant maintains that claim 9, as amended, is not anticipated by *Shuichi*. It is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejection be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that claims 11 and 12 are allowable for the reasons set forth above with regard to claim 9 at least based upon their dependency on claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103 - *Shuichi/Pine*

In support of the Examiner's rejection of claim 1, the Examiner again relies on *Shuichi* to teach the controller causing the oscillation section to stop the generation of the carrier when the information recorder receives an instruction to capture an image, and the controller causes the oscillation section to stop at least for a period from the time when the image or audio information is captured to the time when the image or audio information is recorded. As noted above, *Shuichi* fails to teach or suggest pausing the generation of the carrier when the information recorder receives an instruction to capture an image. *Pine* fails to cure the deficiencies of the teachings of *Shuichi* as *Pine* fails to teach or suggest these claim elements. As none of the references, either alone or in combination, assuming these references are combinable, which Applicant does not admit, teach or suggest these claim elements, Applicant maintains that claim 1 is patentable over the references as cited.

It is respectfully submitted that claim 2 is allowable for the reasons set forth above with regard to claim 1 at least based upon its dependency on claim 1.

With regard to independent claim 3, the Examiner similarly relies on paragraph [0016] of *Shuichi* to teach or suggest the generating and the stopping as recited. Applicant again disagrees that the teachings of the cited references are sufficient to render claim 3 unpatentable.

Claim 3 recites, *inter alia*, a communication method of an information recording device comprising generating a carrier for wireless transmission when the wireless transmission to external equipment starts; issuing an instruction to record at least either image or audio information and pausing the carrier when an instruction to record the information is issued. Claim 3, as amended, recites pausing the generation of the carrier that was generated in the first step of the claim. As noted above, *Shuichi* teaches, upon powering of the camera, powering other circuits except for the transmission equipment. As such, *Shuichi* fails to teach or suggest pausing the generation of the carrier when an instruction to record the information is issued. As noted above, *Pine* fails to cure the deficiencies of the teachings of *Shuichi*, and thus, as none of these references teach or suggest all of the claim elements, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 3 is patentable over the references as cited. It is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejection be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that claims 4-8 are allowable for the reasons set forth above with regard to claim 3 at least based upon their dependency on claim 3.

Conclusion

If the Examiner has any questions concerning this application, the Examiner is requested to contact Catherine M. Voisinet, Reg. No. 52,327 at the telephone number of (703) 205-8000. Facsimile communications may be sent to facsimile number (703) 205-8050.


Application No. 09/678,333
Amendment dated August 29, 2005

Docket No.: 0879-0281P

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: August 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Marc S. Weiner

Registration No.: 32,181

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant